IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	No. 3:16-cv-340
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$ \$ \$

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446, Defendant Horace Mann Lloyds Insurance Company ("Horace Mann") files this Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. On October 27, 2016, Plaintiff Faith Rice-Mills filed her Original Petition in the matter styled *Faith Rice-Mills vs. Horace Mann Lloyds Insurance Company and Timothy Norvell*, Cause No. 88982-CV in the 239th Judicial District Court of Brazoria County, Texas. The lawsuit arises out of a claim Plaintiff made for damages to her property under insurance policy no. 001918538, issued by Horace Mann Lloyds Insurance Company, effective May 13, 2014 through May 13, 2015.

- 2. Plaintiff served Timothy Norvell with a copy of the Original Petition on or about November 4, 2016. Plaintiff served Horace Mann with a copy of the Original Petition on or about November 7, 2016.
- 3. Defendant Horace Mann files this Notice of Removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's pleading. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). In addition, this Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.* All pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a). A copy of this Notice is also concurrently being filed with the state court and served upon the Plaintiff. As required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), being filed simultaneously with the filing of this Notice of Removal is an Index of State Court Documents, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 4. A copy of the Civil Docket is attached hereto as Exhibit "1." A copy of the Case Summary is attached hereto as Exhibit "2." A copy of Civil Case Information Sheet is attached hereto as Exhibit "3." A copy of the Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "4." A copy of the Process Request is attached hereto as Exhibit "5". A copy of the Certificate of Delivery by Mail of Timothy Norvell is attached hereto as Exhibit "6." A copy of the Certificate of Delivery by Mail of Horace Mann Lloyds is attached hereto as Exhibit "7." A copy of the Officer's Return by Mail to Timothy Norvell is attached hereto as Exhibit "8." A copy of the Officer's Return by Mail as to Horace Mann is attached hereto as Exhibit "9." A copy of the Receipt for Certified Mail to Timothy Norvell is attached hereto as Exhibit "10." A copy of the Receipt for Certified Mail to Horace Mann is attached hereto as Exhibit "11." A copy of the Original Answer filed by Horace Mann Lloyd Insurance Company is attached hereto

as Exhibit "12" and a copy of the Original Answer filed by Timothy Norvell is attached hereto as Exhibit "13." A List of Parties and Counsel is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

5. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because this district and division embraces Brazoria County, Texas, the place where the removed action has been pending and where the incident giving rise to this lawsuit took place.

II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

6. Removal is proper based on diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a), 1441(a) and 1446.

A. The Proper Parties Are Of Diverse Citizenship

- 7. Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident of Brazoria County, Texas, and a citizen of the State of Texas. *See* Pl's Original Pet. ¶ 1, attached hereto as Exhibit "4."
- 8. Defendant Horace Mann is a "Lloyd's Plan" organized under Chapter 941 of the Texas Insurance Code. It consists of an association of underwriters, each of whom, at the time this action was commenced were, and still are, citizens and residents of the state of Illinois. Therefore, Defendant Horace Mann is a citizen and resident of the state of Illinois for diversity purposes. *Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp.*, 3 F.3d 877, 882 (5th Cir. 1993); *Massey v. State Farm Lloyd's Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998) ("the citizenship of State Farm Lloyd's must be determined solely by the citizenship of its members, or underwriters."); *Rappaport v. State Farm Lloyd's*, 1998 WL 249211 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (finding that State Farm Lloyd's is an unincorporated association whose members are completely diverse with Plaintiff, and denying remand).

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Norvell is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident and citizen of the State of Texas.
- 10. With respect to the claims against Norvell, it is Defendant Horace Mann's position that he has been fraudulently joined in this action. Therefore, the Texas citizenship of Norvell should be disregarded for the purposes of evaluating diversity in this matter.
- 11. When fraudulent joinder is asserted, the Court must "pierce the pleadings" to determine whether a cause of action grounded in fact exists. *Carriere v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*, 893 F.2d 98, 100 (5th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 498 U.S. 817 (1990). The failure to specify a legal and factual basis for a claim against a non-diverse party constitutes a failure to state a claim and results in fraudulent joinder of that party. *Waters v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 158 F.R.D. 107, 109 (S.D. Tex. 1994).
- Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and the Texas Insurance Code, as well as breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. *See* Pl's Original Pet. ¶¶ 18-24, attached hereto as Exhibit "4." Based on Plaintiff's pleading, there is no basis for predicting that Plaintiff might be able to establish liability against Norvell because no real facts relating to him have been set forth. Plaintiff's claims against Norvell consist merely of labels, conclusions, and formulaic recitations of the elements of causes of action. *See Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 554, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65, 167 L.Ed.2d 929, 940 (2007). *See also Ashcroft v Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1945, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009). As such, Plaintiff cannot "establish a cause of action against [Norvell] in state court." *Travis v. Irby*, 326 F.3d 644, 647 (5th Cir. 2003) (citing *Griggs v. State Farm Lloyds*, 181 F.3d 694, 698 (5th Cir. 1999)); *see also TAJ Properties, LLC v. Zurich American Ins. Co.*, Civil Action No. H-10-2512, 2010 WL 4923473 at *2 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 29,

2010) (Werlein, J.). Because there is no reasonable basis for this Court to predict that the Plaintiff might be able to recover against Norvell, his presence should be disregarded in determining diversity jurisdiction.

- 13. In fact, counsel for Plaintiff has a history of filing lawsuits against insurance carriers and independent adjusters where they fail to plead any real facts against the adjuster, in an effort to avoid federal diversity. For example, in *Dalton v. State Farm Lloyd's, Inc.*, 2013 WL 3157532 *6 (S.D. Tex. June 19, 2013), where the same attorney represented the insured Dalton in the Southern District of Texas before Judge Harmon, the Court noted: "The allegations against [the individual adjuster] are minimal and provide no facts and therefore fail to establish a plausible claim. For the most part the allegations merely track the statutory provisions, alleging only that [the individual adjuster] inspected the Property and that he submitted an undervalued repair estimate to State Farm." Attached hereto as Exhibit "C". The *Dalton* Court concluded the individual adjuster was improperly joined and must be dismissed. *Id*.
- 14. The Northern District, Fort Worth Division addressed similar deficient pleadings in an Order dated May 28, 2015, dismissing the claims against adjusters in three cases and ruling "the claims adjuster was named as a defendant . . . for the purpose of attempting to defeat federal court jurisdiction." *Ogden v. State Farm Lloyds, et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:15-CV-139-A, 2015 WL 3450298 at *3 (N.D. Tex. May 28, 2015) (McBryde, J.), attached hereto as Exhibit "D".
- 15. In addition, in *Davis v. Metropolitan Lloyds Ins. Co. of Texas*, Civil Action No. 4:14-CV-957-A, 2015 WL 456726 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 3, 2015) (McBryde, J.), attached hereto as Exhibit "E", Judge McBryde began his analysis by noting:

Certain attorneys representing insureds/claimants who are citizens of Texas and who are dissatisfied with the non-citizen insurer's response to the insured's/claimant's policy demand have developed a practice of filing suit in state court against the non-citizen insurer and an insurance adjuster or agent who

is a citizen of Texas with the goal of preventing the insurance company from exercising its right to have the case removed to and heard by a federal court. The instant action is one of those suits.

Ultimately, the *Davis* court denied remand as the "boilerplate" allegations against the non-diverse adjuster were found to be legally insufficient (and the court noted these same types of allegations "repeatedly have been used by counsel for plaintiff in the bringing of state court actions of this kind.").

- 16. Daly & Black has established a pattern of practice of not pleading specific facts against adjusters. Given the history and pattern of Daly & Black's pleadings, and the same "boilerplate" allegations here, there is no way to predict that this Plaintiff might be able to recover against any independent adjuster, much less Defendant Norvell.
- 17. Because Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas and Defendant Horace Mann is a citizen of Illinois, complete diversity of citizenship exists among the proper parties.

B. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000.00

18. This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Plaintiff's Petition expressly alleges that "Plaintiff currently seeks monetary relief over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000." *See* Exhibit D, \P 5. Thus, the express allegations in the Petition exceed the amount in controversy threshold of \$75,000.

Conclusion and Prayer

All requirements are met for removal under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446. Accordingly, Horace Mann Lloyds hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: /s/R. Tate Gorman

R. Tate Gorman

State Bar No. 24032360

Plaza of the Americas

700 N. Pearl Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor

Dallas, TX 75201-2832 Telephone: (214) 871-8248

Fax: (214) 871-8209

E-Mail: tgorman@thompsoncoe.com

and

Vasilia M Wilkes State Bar No. 24051452 THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P. One Riverway, Suite 1400 Houston, TX 77056

Telephone: (713) 403-8285

Fax: (713) 403-8299

E-Mail: vwilkes@thompsoncoe.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT HORACE MANN LLOYDS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this the 5th day of December, 2016, a copy of this document has been served on Plaintiff's counsel of record in accordance with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure:

Richard D. Daly
rdaly@dalyblack.com
Sheldon Wayne
swayne@dalyblack.com
DALY & BLACK. P.C.
2211 Norfolk Street
Suite 8900
Houston, Texas 77098

/s/ Vasilia M. Wilkes

Vasilia M. Wilkes